

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
For CDRU # 1375 Case file # 91A-LR-33554.

Material Examiner Malone (RO)

Remarks:

After research it has been determined that the handwritten notes of Malone (RO) if they existed were not found in the file (submission was transferred to Malone) at the time of review by the Independent Scientist.

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fiber

Review commenced at: 5:30 PM (Time), 09/15/99 (Date)

File #: 91A-LR-33554

Laboratory #(s): 20424008

20323031

Examiner(s) & Symbols

| | Reviewed | Not Reviewed | | Reviewed | Not Reviewed |
|----|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| RQ | X | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> | | | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> | | | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: _____

Testimony Date(s): _____ Pages: _____

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 20424008, 20324031 Date: Apr 29, 1992

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Examiner Bench Notes of: None present

Laboratory Number: _____

Was any other material reviewed? Yes No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: _____

Results of Review

File #: 91A-LR-33554

Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Unable to review-no exam notes

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on
additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
 Yes No Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
 Yes No Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on
additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? Yes No Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? Yes No Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? Yes No Unable to Determine

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 91A-LR-33554

#1. There is no documentation in the case file.

#2. There are no bench notes in the file. A Confirmation sheet is in the file, but it does not specify which 2 specimens were examined and is written in pencil.

Review completed at: 5:45 PM (Time), 09/15/99 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 1/4 hour

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.

Steve Roberts

(Signature)

9-15-99

(Date)